Prospects for a Charged Higgs Search with Early ATLAS Data

Jenna Lane, University of Manchester IoP Meeting, UCL 30th March 2010

Charged Higgs in top decays

• Event selection

Analysis method

• Expected upper limits on B(t -> H⁺b) with 200 pb⁻¹ of 10 TeV data.

Charged Higgs in Top Decays

- The minimal supersymmetric extension to the standard model, together with a two higgs doublet model, predicts five higgs bosons: H, h, A, H[±].
- Discovery of a new charged boson would be direct evidence for new physics.
- Production mechanism for H⁺ depends on the mass.
- For a light H⁺ (lighter than M_t M_b), then the main production mechanism would be via the decay of a top quark.
- Predicted branching ratio t -> H⁺b depends on tanβ (experimentally difficult at medium tanβ).
- Decay mode of H^+ also depends on tan β .



 H^{-}

Decay Channel



- Use semi-leptonic ttbar decay.
- One W boson is replaced by a H⁺ and decays to two jets.
- Good candidate decay for MSSM at low $tan\beta$.

Analysis strategy:

- Reconstruct di-jet from H⁺ and look for a second peak in the SM W mass distribution.
- Assume B(H⁺->csbar) = 1 and try to set limits on B(t->H⁺b).
 - Analysis has already been done at the Tevatron (CDF)

| Charged Higgs mass (GeV) | B(t->H ⁺ b) Upper Limit @ 95% CL |
|--------------------------|---|
| 90 | 0.22 |
| 110 | 0.14 |
| 130 | 0.08 |
| 150 | 0.12 |

- LHC $\sigma_{\rm tt}$ ~ two orders of magnitude larger than Tevatron
- Expect lots of ttbar events.
- With a small amount of data we have the potential to compete with the Tevatron results.

Signal and Backgrounds: Event Selection

- The SM ttbar decay in the semi-leptonic channel is an irreducible background.
- Other backgrounds are single top and W + jets.
- QCD background is not considered and will be estimated from data.
- Signal samples generated at 90, 110, 130, 150 GeV using Pythia.
- ttbar background generated with MC@nlo
- Analysis assumes a 10 TeV centre of mass energy.

Event selection cuts:

- Exactly 1 electron or muon with $p_T > 20$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$. Isolation 6 GeV in a cone 0.2
- Missing $E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- At least 4 jets with $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- 2 of the 4 leading jets are b-tagged.



• Want better separation between signal and background.

The Kinematic Fit

• Define a χ^2 function that describes the event, and minimise, allowing jet and lepton energies to vary in the fit within experimental resolutions.

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{\substack{i = lepton, \\ 4 \text{ jets}}} \frac{\left(p_{T}^{i, fit} - p_{T}^{i, meas}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} + \sum_{j = x, y} \frac{\left(p_{j}^{UE, fit} - p_{j}^{UE, meas}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{UE}^{2}} + \sum_{j = jjb, lvb} \frac{\left(M_{k} - M_{top}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{top}^{2}} + \frac{\left(M_{lv} - M_{W}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{W}^{2}}$$

• Have constraints on the reconstructed top and W mass – the χ^2 reflects how much the event looks like a ttbar event.



- Fitted distributions requiring $\chi^2 < 10$ and on the hadronic side of the decay M_{top} before fitting < 195 GeV.
- Improves separation between signal and ttbar background.
- χ² cut is also powerful to remove non-ttbar background events

For 200 pb⁻¹ Scenario at 10 TeV

• Cut flow for the signal and main backgrounds.

| Process | No Cuts (N events) | Lepton | МЕТ | 4 jets | 2 btags | trigger | χ ² < 10 | Mtop < 195 GeV |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------------------|--------------------|
| H+ (90 GeV) | 4757 | 0.395 | 0.897 | 0.624 | 0.254 | 0.880 | 0.379 | 0.831 (74) |
| H+ (110 GeV) | 4757 | 0.401 | 0.901 | 0.620 | 0.221 | 0.881 | 0.404 | 0.857 (72) |
| H+ (130 GeV) | 4757 | 0.403 | 0.894 | 0.605 | 0.181 | 0.878 | 0.345 | 0.860 (49) |
| H+ (150 GeV) | 4757 | 0.413 | 0.891 | 0.553 | 0.125 | 0.884 | 0.308 | 0.845 (28) |
| SM ttbar no all had | 43680 | 0.390 | 0.906 | 0.507 | 0.270 | 0.883 | 0.425 | 0.860 (683) |
| Single Top | 11792 | 0.407 | 0.894 | 0.172 | 0.195 | 0.882 | 0.236 | 0.800 (24) |
| W + jets | 55220 | 0.367 | 0.860 | 0.333 | 0.008 | 0.894 | 0.190 | 0.750 (6) |

<u>Signal</u>

- Numbers of events assume $B(t \rightarrow H^+b) = 0.1$ and $B(H^+->csbar) = 1$.

Limit Setting (Maximum Likelihood)

• Assume no signal and use binned maximum likelihood based on template mass distributions.



• Three fit parameters:

- B(t -> H+b)

- Total N_{tt} (into all decay modes: over all normalisation uncertainties absorbed)
- N_{bkg} (Total number of non-ttbar background)
- Test LH fit performance using set of 1000 PE (PE).
- LH shape gives information for limit setting.
 - 1. Maximise LH to fit 3 parameters.
 - 2. Scan LH from $B(t->H^+b) = 0$ to 1 with fitted values of N_{tt} and N_{bkg} to obtain 95% CL upper limit on $B(t->H^+b)$.



Systematic Uncertainties

- Systematic uncertainties have two effects on the limit setting:
 - Acceptance changes
 - Shape of di-jet mass distribution
- Model the effect of each systematic uncertainty in the MC
- Use new distributions to create pseudodata.
- Repeat LH fit, but fit to nominal templates and check the effect on the upper limit.
- An example case for 90 GeV H⁺.
- Systematic due to Jet Energy Scale (JES) is small
- Have applied a recalibration to the jet energies based on the position of the W mass peak in SM ttbar decays.

| Systematic | Δ(B) | | |
|-----------------------|--------|--|--|
| Jet Energy Resolution | 0.0584 | | |
| Jet Energy Scale | 0.0011 | | |
| MC Generator | 0.0180 | | |
| ISR/FSR | 0.0550 | | |
| b-jet Energy Scale | 0.0327 | | |
| Lepton Energy Scale | 0.0015 | | |
| Combination | 0.0942 | | |

Nominal $B(t->H^+b) = 0.0584$

Final Limits

- For final limits including systematics use a smeared LH.
- Convolute LH with a Gaussian whose width describes the combination of all systematics.



Summary

- For $m_{H+} < m_t m_b$ can search for a charged Higgs produced in top decays.
- The LHC will produce tops at an unprecedented rate.
- •A kinematic fit gave better separation between signal and background events.
- A likelihood fit method was used to put expected upper limits on B(t -> H⁺b) at 95% confidence level.
- Can expect to improve on the current Tevatron limits with 200 pb⁻¹ data at 10 TeV.