# Inelastic pp cross-section at 13 TeV

#### **Miguel Arratia** Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge

HEP Seminars, University College London





## ISR @ CERN The first hadron collider



• Started operations in 1971

• 300 m diameter

• pp collisions, 62 GeV max.

 Opened new energy regime, x5 times more energy than before

#### MEASUREMENT OF THE TOTAL PROTON-PROTON CROSS-SECTION AT THE ISR<sup>☆</sup>

#### S.R. AMENDOLIA, G. BELLETTINI\*, P.L. BRACCINI, C. BRADASCHIA, R. CASTALDI\*\*, V. CAVASINNI, C. CERRI\*, T. Del PRETE, L. FOA\*, P. GIROMINI, P. LAURELLI, A. MENZIONE, L. RISTORI, G. SANGUINETTI, M. VALDATA,

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa Istituto di Fisica dell'Università, Pisa Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy

#### G. FINOCCHIARO, P. GRANNIS\*, D. GREEN, R. MUSTARD and R. THUN

State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York, USA

Received 23 February 1973

We present the first results of a measurement of the total cross-section  $\sigma_T$  in proton-proton collisions at equivalent laboratory momenta between 291 and 1480 GeV/c at the CERN Intersecting Storage Rings (ISR). The method is based on the measurement of the ratio of the total interaction rate and the machine luminosity. The data show an increase of about 10% in  $\sigma_T$  in this energy interval.

#### **Discovery! the cross-section rises with energy**





The possibility of rapidly rising total cross-sections at very high energies has been considered theoretically by Heisenberg [10], and by Cheng and Wu [11]. The presence of an energy dependence in  $\sigma_T$  indicates that if an asymptotic limit exists, it has not been reached at ISR energies, and points up the interest in extending all total cross-section measurements to higher energies.



#### Over 40 years later and still...



Data consistent with:

1953: Heisenberg's  $\ln^2(s)$  dependence (also with power-law)

1961: Froissart bound. i.e cross-section cannot grow faster than  $\ln^2(s)$ 



# Cosmic ray energy spectrum



 The origin of the "knee" is one of the key open questions in cosmic ray physics

Composition
 measurements are
 required to
 understand origin

8



- Use the atmosphere as a calorimeter!

-

This method does not allow a direct identification of the primary cosmic ray

## Shower depth, the main tool for cosmic ray ID

 Inelastic cross-section determines the mean-free-path in the atmosphere

 13 TeV data is right in the middle of interesting region



Fig from

## LHC energy range overlaps with cosmic ray data

 Data can be used to constrain model that translates p-p to p-air cross-sections

 This model is the backbone of air-shower simulations. It is also used in heavy ion physics



#### Minimum-bias trigger scintillators

- Highly efficient plastic scintillators (24 modules).
   Completely rebuilt for Run-II
- We trigger on events with at least 1 hit
- Acceptance covers from 14.4 to 2.4 degrees.
  15 cm from beam-pipe.









Run: 263962 Event: 20805 2015-05-05 09:39:47 CEST

# Special low-luminosity run, July 2015



We need negligible "pileup" for this measurement

 $\mu_{\rm c} pprox 0.002$ 

About 5M "minimum-bias" events

#### Breakdown of the inelastic cross-section



~ 70%

Non-diffractive



Single-diffractive

Double-diffractive



# $\mathcal{A}(M_X) > 50\%$ for $M_X > 13$ GeV or $ilde{\xi} \equiv M_X^2/s > 10^{-6}$ 16

- But, no acceptance for lowmass diffractive events
- acceptance for nondiffractive events

MBTS has very large



 $\eta = 2.07$  $\eta = 2.76$  $\eta = 3.86$ 

#### Acceptance for low-mass diffractive events

#### $\xi$ distribution

#### **Selection efficiency (2 hits)**



#### **Fiducial cross-section**

$$\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > 10^{-6}) = rac{(N - N_{
m BG})}{\epsilon_{
m trig} \times \mathcal{L}} imes rac{1 - f_{\tilde{\xi} < 10^{-6}}}{\epsilon_{
m sel}}$$

Fiducial region definition chosen to make

$$C_{MC}\equivrac{1-f_{ ilde{\xi}<10^{-6}}}{\epsilon_{
m sel}}pprox 1$$

 $\epsilon_{\rm sel} = {\rm offline\ selection\ efficiency\ for\ events\ with\ \tilde{\xi} > 10^{-6}}$  $f_{\tilde{\xi} < 10^{-6}} = {\rm Migration\ from\ outside\ fiducial\ region\ _{18}}$ 

# **MBTS efficiency with tracks**

 $\epsilon = \frac{\text{Number of counters above threshold and tagged with a track}}{\text{Total number of counters tagged by a track}}$ 



# Trigger efficiency

 Measured in data with events selected by other, independent triggers

- Overall 99.7% efficiency



# Background

Main sources are:

- Beam-gas interactions
- "Afterglow", i.e photons & neutrons from nuclear de excitation
- We estimate them using dedicated triggers, and timing studies:

0.5% beam-gas and 0.7% afterglow



#### Instantaneous luminosity in a collider

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bunch}} = f \times \underbrace{n_1 n_2}_{\text{Bunch current}} \times \underbrace{\int \rho_1(x, y) \rho_2(x, y) dx dy}_{\text{Beam overlap}}$$

$$f = \text{revolution frequency (27 km/c)}$$

$$n_1, n_2 = \text{number of protons in bunches}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum \mathcal{L}_{\text{bunch} [\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}]}$$

 $\rho_1, \rho_2$  = normalized charge density

bunches



#### The van der Meer method

$$\operatorname{Rate}(\Delta) = C \int \rho_1(x) \rho_2(x - \Delta) dx$$
$$\int \operatorname{Rate}(\Delta) d\Delta = C \int \rho_1(x) \int \rho_2(x - \Delta) d\Delta dx$$
$$\int \rho_1(x) \rho_2(x) dx = \frac{\operatorname{Rate}(\Delta = 0)}{\int \operatorname{Rate}(\Delta) d\Delta}$$



23

#### Luminosity and visible inelastic rate



#### Luminometers

ATLAS uses several luminosity detectors:

- LUCID (Cherenkov detector)
   17 m away from interaction point
- BCM (Diamond detector)
  1.8 m away from interaction
- Inner detector (pixels, tracks, vertices)
- Calorimeters (current drawn)

Deduce visible inelastic rate from events failing OR selection, assuming Poisson statistics:

$$P(0)=e^{-\mu_{vis}}$$





#### Absolute calibration with vdM method



In dedicated runs: measure simultaneously  $\mathcal{L}$  from machine parameters (vdM method) and visible rate,  $\mu_{
m ViS}$  for a given detector, to get the constant  $\sigma_{
m ViS}$ In normal runs: measure  $\mu_{
m ViS}$  and divide by  $\sigma_{
m ViS}$  to get  $\mathcal{L}$ 

# Beam-drift during vdM scans



Corrections due to drift up to 2.4%

#### New instrumentation allows us to push down uncertainty to permil level

27

# Scan-to-scan reproducibility

 We did have 3 different scans. The calibration constant should be the same in all of them

 Beam-drift reduces tension among scans, bringing down reproducibility uncertainty to ~0.6%



#### **Beam-beam deflections**

- Beams repel each other electromagnetically. Deflection can be calculated analytically
- It has the effect to distort the scancurves leading to ~2% changes in calibration
- For the first time we have spotted beam-beam effects in beam-drift data. And it is consistent with expectations





# Non-factorization bias

Traditional vdM analysis assumes





But it can be generalized, with simultaneous fit with "non-factorizable" function ~1% uncertainty  $f(x, y) = e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}} [1 + pol(x) + pol(y)]$ 

## Luminosity uncertainty timeline

- EPS 2015 : 9.0 %
- End of year 2015 : 5.0 %
- Today : 1.9 %

Improvement largely due and beam-beam corrections, and understanding of non-factorization bias.

# Constraining the fraction of diffractive events



 Ratio of single-sided to inclusive events depends depends on

$$f_{\rm D} \equiv rac{\sigma_{SD} + \sigma_{DD}}{\sigma_{inel}}$$

• For each MC model, we tune  $f_{\rm D}$  to match the data





# Inclusive hit distribution





- Most of events fire every MBTS counter

 No MC model is perfect but some do better than others

# Single-sided hit distribution





 Most of events contain high multiplicity

 Pythia DL models do a pretty good job.

 I.e, diffractive events within acceptance are reasonable well modelled.

#### **Fiducial cross-section**

$$\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > 10^{-6}) = \frac{(N - N_{\rm BG})}{\epsilon_{\rm trig} \times \mathcal{L}} \times \frac{1 - f_{\tilde{\xi} < 10^{-6}}}{\epsilon_{\rm sel}}$$

Factor	Value	Rel. unc.
Number of selected events $(N)$	4159074	—
Number of background events $(N_{BG})$	43512	$\pm 100~\%$
Luminosity $[\mu b^{-1}](L)$	62.9	$\pm9\%$
Trigger efficiency $(\epsilon_{\rm trig})$	99.7%	$\pm 0.1\%$
MC Correction factor $((1 - f_{\tilde{\xi} < 10^{-6}})/\epsilon_{\rm sel})$	0.993	$\pm 0.5\%$

 $\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > 10^{-6}) = 65.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 5.9 \text{ (lum.) mb}$ 

#### **Fiducial cross-section**

 $\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > 10^{-6}) = 65.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 5.9 \text{ (lum.) mb}$ 



## Total inelastic cross-section

To report total cross-section we need to correct for limited acceptance

$$\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > m_p^2/s) = \sigma(\tilde{\xi} > 10^{-6}) + \sigma(m_p^2/s < \tilde{\xi} < 10^{-6})$$
  
Measured

# **Total cross-section**

 $\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > m_p^2/s) = 73.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 6.6 \text{ (lum.)} \pm 3.8 \text{ (extr.) mb}$ 



#### **Total cross-section**

 $\sigma(\tilde{\xi} > m_p^2/s) = 73.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 6.6 \text{ (lum.)} \pm 3.8 \text{ (extr.) mb}$ 



#### Constraining extrapolation with 7 TeV data

- ALFA result used elastic scattering and optical theorem to infer total inelastic cross-section
- MBTS result measured fiducial inelastic cross-section for  $\tilde{\xi} > 5 \times 10^{-6}$

$$\sigma_7(\tilde{\xi} < 5 \times 10^{-6}) = \sigma_7(\tilde{\xi} > m_p^2/s) - \sigma_7(\tilde{\xi} > 5 \times 10^{-6})$$
  
=  $\sigma_{ALFA} - \sigma_{MBTS}$   
=  $(71.34 \pm 0.91) \text{ mb} - (60.33 \pm 2.10) \text{ mb}$   
=  $11.01 \pm 2.29 \text{ mb}$ 

#### Extrapolation to total cross-section



#### Conclusions

- First measurement of inelastic cross-section at 13 TeV
- Preliminary result uncertainty dominated by luminosity (9%)
- Well controlled luminosity calibration and extrapolation uncertainties will add up to
   3--4% (my educated guess, work in progress)





42

#### Back up slides

#### Acceptance







