News from Fermilab: 50+ years; g-2; Planck scale



Fermilab: 50+ years



Robert R. Wilson, NAL Director, and Willibald Jentschke, CERN DG, Sept. 1, 1971

"It only has to do with the respect with which we regard one another, the dignity of men, our love of culture. It has to do with those things. It has to do with, are we good painters, good sculptors, great poets? I mean all the things that we really venerate and honor in our country and are patriotic about. It has nothing to do directly with defending our country except to help make it worth defending." — Robert R. Wilson, answering Congress' question on how the new accelerator will affect the nation's security.



A brief history of Fermilab: b, t, v_{τ} , Higgs



Theoretical Astrophysics Group (Schramm, Turner, Kolb) Sloan Digital Sky Survey Dark Energy Camera South Pole Telescope 3G Future: DESI, LSST, CMB-S4

Astrophysics





Muon Campus – Fermilab's Back yard





Fermilab Muon g-2



Spin and Magnetic Moment



"g" is the Gyromagnetic Ratio:

Classical: g=1 [Stern-Gerlach (1922)] Pauli: g=2 for (isolated) point particle (1928) Otto Stern: g=5.6 for proton (1933) Rabi, and Stern g=-3.8 for neutron (1934+) Kusch&Foley e=2.00238 for electron(1948) Schwinger $2(1+\alpha/\pi)$ (1948)

Larmor precession

$$\omega_s = \frac{geB}{2m}$$

Garwing, Lederman, Weinrich $2.01 \pm 0.4\%$ (1957)

Cern I 4300 ppm (1965) Cern II 270 ppm (1968) Cern III 7 ppm (1979)

BNL 0.54 ppm (1999)

FNAL Goal: 0.140 ppm

Measure g directly



 $g = 2m\omega/eB$

So, why bother?

Convenient to deal with the "anomalous magnetic moment"

Muon g-2: Theory (2018)

Contribution	a _µ Value (x 10⁻¹¹)
QED ¹ (Tenth-order)	116 584 718.95 ± 0.08
Hadronic VP (lo) [DHMZ-17] ²	6 931 ± 34
Hadronic VP (lo) [KNT-18] ³	6 933 ± 25
Hadronic VP (nlo) [DHMZ-17] ²	-98.7 ± 0.7
Hadronic VP (nlo) [KNT-18] ³	-98.2 ± 0.4
Hadronic VP (nnlo) ⁴	12.4 ± 0.1
Hadronic LbL (lo + nlo) ^{5,6}	101 ± 26
Electroweak ⁷	153.6 ± 1.0
Total SM [DHMZ-17]	116 591 818 ± 43
Total SM [KNT-18] ³	116 591 821 ± 36



g-2

μ

¹Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 111808; ²arXiv:1706.09436; ³Keshavarzi et al., arXiv:1802.02995; ⁴Phys. Lett. B 734 (2014) 144; ⁵Phys. Lett. B 735 (2014) 90; ⁶EPJ Web Conf. 118 (2016) 01016; ⁷Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 053005

Courtesy of Kim Siang Khaw

Standard Model ← → Measurement



Use a muon storage ring

Principle of Muon g-2 experiment



In π center of mass reference frame, the v and μ spins are aligned with their momentum vectors

In lab frame, select high momentum μ for 90% polarization.

Positron from muon decay aligned with spin

In muon CM, positron direction tends to align with muon spin: $1 + A_u$ (cos θ) / 3





Calorimeter would see a Time-varying Positron Energy Distribution with frequency $\omega_a = \omega_s - \omega_c$.

Detect positron from muon decay





e⁺ calorimeter



The "wiggle" plot



Note: the B field is not here directly. We sample the B field with protons in NMR probes to calibrate.



- A **Trolley** runs inside the beam pipe to map periodically the field by a set of <u>pNMR</u> probes : 1 run of ~3h is performed every 3d
- A set of 378 fixed probes are located in 72 locations in azimuth

Track muon locations in storage ring



Convolve B with muon distribution



Measure B using pNMR probes

Mechanically adjust steel

Coils adjust dipole

Thermally control steel
NMR map of field



Instrument 2 stations with straw tubes

"u","v" planes

Trace back to tangent

Muon distribution





UCL Team









 High-energy positrons tend to follow muon spin direction in rest frame; consider boost to lab frame:

 $u^+ \rightarrow e^+ + v_e + \overline{v}_\mu$



- T method: accept pulses > 1.86 GeV threshold that maximizes \sqrt{NA}
- Q method: total energy vs. time



Positrons detected in 24 calorimeters around inner circumference of ring.



Calorimeter gain stability established to ~few x 10-4

Test Beam Data



State-of-the-art Laser-based calibration system also allows for pseudo data runs for DAQ





Calorimeters: pulse separation in space and time

- 24 calorimeters
- Each segmented into 9x6 array of PbF₂ Cerenkov crystals.
- Instrumented with silicon photomultiplier (MPPC) arrays.
- Continuously digitized with 800 MSPS sampling.
- Pulses selected and recorded by algorithms in online GPU (graphics processing unit) cluster.









Overlapping pulses ("pileup")

(c)

Higher energy positrons have longer flight time and therefore different phase.



(a)

(b)

If two 1.4 GeV positrons add up to one 2.8 GeV count, they have the wrong phase by ~15 ns = ~20 mrad. The proportion of these events decreases over the fill.

Early-to-late phase shift alters the fitted frequency.

Experiment uses a weak focusing muon storage ring.



[1] Y. K. Semertzidis et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 503, 458 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)00999-9

Two corrections to "Wiggle Plot"

$$\vec{\omega}_a = \frac{e}{mc} \left[a_\mu \vec{B} - \left(a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} - a_\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right) \left(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B} \right) \vec{\beta} \right]$$

Quad E-fields

vertical motion

1. E-field Correction : 0.25-0.5 ppm (depending on kicker voltage)

- position uncertainty / misalignment of quad plates
- deviation of beam from equilibrium radius / magic momentum

2. Pitch Correction : 0.25 ppm

- due to (small) vertical betatron oscillation

BNL had O(10%) uncertainties on these corrections

Momentum Distribution



Coherent Betatron Oscillations



- "Swimming" and "breathing" motions caused by focusing a beam that only fills part of the phase space
- Stroboscopic observation at $\omega_{CBO} = \omega_x \omega_c$
- Possible interference between ω_{CBO} and $2\omega_{a}$
- Quadrupole voltage now limited (18.3 kV) by vacuum: running at "low-n" solution with $\omega_{\text{CBO}} < 2\omega_a$. (Intend to raise to 32 kV for "high-n" with $\omega_{\text{CBO}} > 2\omega_a$.)



One of the Systematic Errors: Muons Lost from Storage Ring

- Muons that get kicked out by the collimators and punch through calorimeters (MIPs) during measurement
- Need to determine: how many muons are lost, and where they originated
- The loss rate is time dependent and needs to be incorporated in the muon precession frequency fit

How do we detect them?

 Muons can pass through many calorimeters without stopping







3 GeV muons (MIPs) deposit of order 200 MeV as they pass through a calorimeter

One of the Systematic Errors: Muons Lost from Storage Ring

Use energy, position, and time to identify "lost muon" events





Coincidence time window between two consecutive calorimeters

MIPs move radially inward when going from 1st Calorimeter to 2nd Calorimeter

Lost muon signal useful for tuning injection parameters, e.g. inflector, quad scraping, kicker settings and radial field

Fractional Muon Losses as a function of time under different scraping voltages



Sudeshna Ganguly



ω_a Systematics

Category	E821	E989 Improvement Plans	Goal
	[ppb]		[ppb]
Gain changes	120	Better laser calibration	
		low-energy threshold	20
Pileup	80	Low-energy samples recorded	
		calorimeter segmentation	40
Lost muons	90	Better collimation in ring	20
CBO	70	Higher n value (frequency)	
		Better match of beamline to ring	< 30
E and pitch	50	Improved tracker	
		Precise storage ring simulations	30
Total	180	Quadrature sum	70

Scientific collaboration



US Universities

- Boston
- Cornell
- Illinois
- James Madison
- Kentucky
- Massachusetts
- Michigan
- Michigan State
- Mississippi
- Northern Illinois
- North Central
- Regis
- **UT** Austin
- Virginia
- Washington

National Labs ٠

- Argonne
- Brookhaven
- Fermilab



- Frascati
- Molise
- Naples
- Pisa
- Roma 2
- Trieste
- Udine

China

- Shanghai _
- Germany
 - Dresden

Russia

- JINR/Dubna
- Novosibirsk



- Lancaster
- Liverpool
- University College London



- lorea
 - CAPP/IBS
 - KAIST
 - 7 countries
 - 34 institutions
 - ~185 authors

Financial support





...with substantial contributions from funding agencies in China, Germany, Italy, Russia, South Korea, and the United Kingdom.



8 Countries, 35 Institutions, 190 Collaborators

Fermilab Muon g-2 Collaboration









See also:

Statistical Model of Exotic Rotational Correlations in Emergent Space-Time arXiv:1607.03048 Inflation with Spooky Correlations arXiv:1811.03283 Quantum-enhanced correlated interferometry for fundamental physics tests arXiv:1810.13386

