CDF note xxxx

Measurement of the WW Cross Section in the Dilepton Channel

The CDF Collaboration [1]

Abstract

We present a measurement of the W-pair production cross-section in the leptonic decay channel $W^+W^- \to l^+l^-\nu\bar{\nu}$ ($l = e, \mu$) in 184 pb^{-1} of proton-antiproton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV collected with the Collider Detector at Fermilab. We find 17 candidate events against an expectation of 11.3 ± 1.3 signal and 4.8 ± 0.8 background events. The resulting measured cross section, $\sigma(p\bar{p} \to W^+W^-) = 14.3^{+5.6}_{-4.9}\,(\text{stat}) \pm 1.6\,(\text{syst}) \pm 0.9\,(\text{lum})$ pb agrees well with the Standard Model value.

Preliminary Results for Winter 2004 Conferences

[1] http://www-cdf.fnal.gov

1 Introduction

W pair production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV has an expected cross section of 13.3 ± 0.8 pb [1] [2], approximately 2000 times smaller than the inclusive single W cross section. Although rare, W pair production provides an important test of the Standard Model. Anomalous triple gauge boson couplings, as well as the decays of new heavy particles such as the Higgs boson, can result in an enhanced rate of W pair production.

In this note we describe a measurement of the WW production cross section through the dilepton channel $W^+W^- \to l^+l^-\nu\bar{\nu}$ ($l = e, \mu$) and a comparison of the events with Standard Model predictions. The analysis is based on 184 pb^{-1} of data collected by the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) from 2002 and 2003. The CDF detector itself is described in detail elsewhere [2].

2 Event Selection

Our event selection begins with the requirement of two well identified electrons (muons) with $E_T > 20$ GeV ($P_T > 20$ GeV/c). Electrons are identified as electromagnetic clusters in either the central ($|\eta^e| < 1.1$ or plug ($1.2 < |\eta^e| < 2.0$) calorimeters. The shower shape measured in shower-maximum detectors in both calorimeters must be consistent with that expected for incident electrons, and good quality matching tracks are required. Muons are identified by the presence of calorimeter energy deposits consistent with the passage of a minimum ionizing particle, in addition to track segments reconstructed in the muon detectors for tracks that fall within the muon chamber coverage. Candidate events must have been selected by one of three triggers, requiring either a central electron with $E_T > 18$ GeV, a muon with $P_T > 18$ GeV or a plug electron with $E_T > 20$ GeV combined with $\not\!\!E_T > 15$ GeV.

WW candidate events are required to have $\not\!\!E_T$, corrected for muons and the primary vertex position, greater than 25 GeV. If the $\not\!\!E_T$ is within 20° in azimuthal angle to either lepton, this cut is raised to 50 GeV. In order to further reduce the background from Drell-Yan events, the missing-E_T significance, defined through the relation $\not\!\!E_T^{sig} = \not\!\!E_T/\Sigma E_T$, is required to be greater than 3 for like-flavor dilepton pairs with a mass between 76 and 106 GeV.

The background from $t\bar{t}$ production is minimized by requiring the events to have no jets with $E_T > 15$ GeV within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta^{jet}| < 2.5$. Finally, the leptons are required to have opposite charge, helping to reduce the background from events containing fake leptons.

[2] We note that an update of this calculation incorporating the latest data on electroweak Standard Model parameters and using the CTEQ6 set of PDF's, yields the somewhat smaller value of 12.4±0.8 pb. $\sigma(WW) =$ 13.3 pb and BR$(W \to l\nu) = 0.11043$ have nevertheless been used to calculate expected event yields in the analysis described here.

3 Signal Modeling

We use a large sample of WW events generated by the Pythia Monte Carlo program [3] and forced to decay leptonically, in order to determine our event acceptance and selection efficiencies. We adjust the Monte Carlo lepton identification efficiencies to reflect those measured in Z data. We apply additional factors to take into account small measured trigger inefficiencies. Finally, we scale down the central acceptance estimate by approximately 5% to take into account an underestimate of the $WW+ \geq 1jet$ rate by a leading-order parton shower Monte Carlo program such as Pythia. This factor has been computed from an analysis of Drell-Yan data and confirmed by a comparison of Pythia with the next-to-leading order generator MC@NLO [4]. The final product of acceptance and efficiency for WW events in all decay channels is estimated to be 0.5%.

The systematic uncertainty on the signal acceptance is estimated to be 10%, dominated by uncertainties in the modeling of the signal, in particular the jet multiplicity distribution and the effect of jet energy scale uncertainties on the zero jet requirement. Other, smaller, systematic error sources include uncertainties in the lepton identification and isolation efficiencies as well as the trigger acceptances.

4 Backgrounds

Backgrounds from $t\bar{t}$ and WZ production are estimated using Monte Carlo normalized to Standard Model cross-sections of 7.0 pb and 4.0 pb respectively. The background from $W+\gamma$ production in which the photon converts and fakes an electron, can also be estimated reliably using fully detector simulated Monte Carlo.

A significant background is $W+$jet events in which the jet fakes a second lepton in the event. The rate at which some object D fakes a lepton (R_D) is measured in an independent QCD sample and multiplied by the number of events in the signal sample containing a single lepton, $\not{E}_T$, and an instance of object D but passing all other WW selection cuts ($N_{l+\not{E}_T+D}$). Schematically, the fake lepton background (N_{FL}) is then given by $N_{FL} \sim R_D \times N_{l+\not{E}_T+D}$. For fake electrons D is a 0.4-cone radius jet and for fake muons D is a track loosely consistent with being a minimum ionizing particle. Fake rates are of order $10^{-3} \rightarrow 10^{-4}$ depending on the lepton type, detector region and transverse momentum.

Drell-Yan events with large $\not{E}_T$ constitute another serious background to WW production. We use Monte Carlo normalized to the NLO Drell-Yan cross-section to estimate this background. However we introduce additional smearing into the Monte Carlo in order to match the shape of the $\not{E}_T$ spectrum observed in the data. The wide variation in the amount of additional smearing that can be tolerated by the data is responsible for the large 40% error on the estimated Drell-Yan background in this analysis.

	CDF Run II Preliminary, 184 pb^{-1}			
Source	ee	$\mu\mu$	$e\mu$	$\ell\ell$
Drell-Yan e^+e^-	0.69 ± 0.31	0.00 ± 0.00	0.048 ± 0.039	0.74 ± 0.31
Drell-Yan $\mu^+\mu^-$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.61 ± 0.24	0.28 ± 0.12	0.89 ± 0.27
Drell-Yan $\tau^+\tau^-$	0.047 ± 0.018	0.046 ± 0.018	0.098 ± 0.037	0.19 ± 0.05
WZ	0.29 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.02	0.76 ± 0.06
$W\gamma$	0.48 ± 0.13	0.00 ± 0.00	0.57 ± 0.13	1.05 ± 0.19
$t\bar{t}$	0.013 ± 0.008	0.008 ± 0.005	0.033 ± 0.014	0.053 ± 0.017
Fake	0.45 ± 0.20	0.15 ± 0.13	0.48 ± 0.23	1.08 ± 0.49
Total Background	1.97 ± 0.40	1.14 ± 0.28	1.66 ± 0.31	4.77 ± 0.70
$WW \to$ dileptons	2.90 ± 0.34	2.75 ± 0.32	5.69 ± 0.66	11.3 ± 1.3
Total Expectation	4.87 ± 0.55	3.89 ± 0.45	7.35 ± 0.76	16.1 ± 1.6
Run 2 Data	6	6	5	17

Table 1: Summary of the expected and observed numbers of events. See text for details

5 Cross Section Determination

The expected number of signal and background events expected in our data samples is summarized in table 5. The signal to background ratio is of order 2 : 1. The largest and most uncertain backgrounds are those due to fake leptons and Drell-Yan events. Since we see good agreement between the expected and observed numbers of events, we proceed to measure a cross-section using the formula :

$$\sigma^{WW}_{meas} = \frac{(N_{obs} - N_{bk})}{N_{exp}} \times \sigma^{WW}_{theory} \ ,$$

where σ^{WW}_{theory} is the 13.3 pb used to compute the expected number of WW events in table 5. The resulting cross-section is :

$$\sigma^{WW}_{meas} = 14.3^{+5.6}_{-4.9}\ (\text{stat}) \pm 1.6\ (\text{syst}) \pm 0.9\ (\text{lum})\ \text{pb} \ .$$

Figures 1 to 3 show kinematic comparisons between the data and the expectations from signal and background combined. Within the limited statistics available, the distributions are in good overall agreement.

6 Conclusions

The cross-section for WW production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV has been measured in the dilepton channel at CDF. The measured value for the cross section and the distributions of candidate events are in good agreement with Standard Model expectations. We look forward to improving the precision of this measurement with higher luminosities, and placing limits on non-Standard Model sources of WW-like events.



Figure 1: The lepton-P_T distribution for candidate events, compared to the expectation from WW signal and combined backgrounds.

7 Acknowledgments

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Bundesministerium fuer Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation and the Korean Research Foundation; the Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council and



Figure 2: The $\not\!\!E_T$ distribution for candidate events, compared to the expectation from WW signal and combined backgrounds.

the Royal Society, UK; the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Comision Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnologia, Spain; and in part by the European Community's Human Potential Programme under contract HPRN-CT-20002, Probe for New Physics.

References

[1] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 113006 (1999).

[2] F. Abe, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **271**, 387 (1988); D. Amidei, et al., Nucl. Instum. Methods Phys. Res. A **350**, 73 (1994); F. Abe, et al., Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4784 (1995); P. Azzi, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **360**, 137 (1995); The CDFII Detector Technical Design Report, Fermilab-Pub-96/390-E.

[3] T. Sjostrand et al., "High-Energy-Physics Event Generation with PYTHIA 6.1", Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).

[4] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, "Matching NLO QCD Computations and Parton Shower Simulations", hep-ph/0204244.



Figure 3: The invariant mass distribution for candidate events, compared to the expectation from WW signal and combined backgrounds.