Brunel University Queen Mary, University of London Royal Holloway, University of London University College London

# Intercollegiate post-graduate course in High Energy Physics

Paper 1: The Standard Model

Tuesday, 31 January 2012

Time allowed for Examination: 3 hours

Answer 6 from 8 questions

Books and notes may be consulted

#### Question 1 (20 marks)

At a collider, two high energy particles, A and B, with energies  $E_A$  and  $E_B$  which are much greater than their rest masses, collide head on. Derive the expression for the centre-of-mass energy. Using this expression, what would be the centre-of-mass energy of a proposed future facility ("LHeC") which will collide 7 TeV protons with 70 GeV electrons?

Now consider particle B (the proton) to be at rest. Derive the formula for the centre-of-mass energy of such a fixed-target experiment. What electron beam energy would be required in the fixed-target experiment in order to achieve the same centre-of-mass energy as in the proposed LHeC facility?

One of the motivations for an eP collider is to search for leptoquarks. Discuss. [4]

The invariant mass of two massless jets,  $M^{jj}$ , can be written in terms of their transverse energies,  $E_T^{\rm jet1}$  and  $E_T^{\rm jet2}$ , pseudorapidities,  $\eta^{\rm jet1}$  and  $\eta^{\rm jet2}$ , and azimuthal angles,  $\phi^{\rm jet1}$  and  $\phi^{\rm jet2}$ :

$$M^{jj} = \sqrt{2E_T^{\mathrm{jet1}}E_T^{\mathrm{jet2}}\left[\cosh\left(\eta^{\mathrm{jet1}} - \eta^{\mathrm{jet2}}\right) - \cos\left(\phi^{\mathrm{jet1}} - \phi^{\mathrm{jet2}}\right)\right]}\,.$$

For two jets back-to-back in  $\phi$  and with equal  $E_T^{\rm jet}$ , show that :

$$M^{jj} = \frac{2E_T^{\text{jet}}}{\sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta^*}},$$

where  $\theta^*$ , the angle between the jet-jet axis and the beam axis in the two-jet centre-of-mass system is given by :

$$\cos \theta^* = \tanh \left( \frac{\eta^{\text{jet1}} - \eta^{\text{jet2}}}{2} \right).$$

(Recall: 
$$\cos 2\theta = 2\cos^2 \theta - 1$$
,  $\operatorname{sech}^2 \theta + \tanh^2 \theta = 1$ .) [4]

The cross-section dependence for a spin-1 propagator is  $\propto (1 - |\cos \theta^*|)^{-2}$  and for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  propagator is  $\propto (1 - |\cos \theta^*|)^{-1}$ . Draw two Feynman diagrams representing parton collisions at the LHC, one of which has a spin-1 and the other a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  propagator. [2]

Many Feynman diagrams exist already at leading order in QCD at a hadron collider such as the LHC. Draw the four diagrams for the partonic process,  $gg \to gg$ . [4]

# Question 2 (20 marks)

Given the cross section for the scattering of an electron from a fixed Coulomb potential of point charge Ze:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{2(Z\alpha)^2 m^2}{|\mathbf{q}|^4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \gamma_0 \frac{p_i + m}{2m} \gamma_0 \frac{p_f + m}{2m} \right] ,$$

where  $p_i$  and  $p_f$  are the initial and final momenta and  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}_f - \mathbf{p}_i$ , determine the Mott cross section :

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{4(\gamma\beta^2)^2(mc^2)^2\sin^4\theta/2} \left(1 - \beta^2\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

Trace theorems used should be explicitly stated.

Show that in the non-relativistic limit

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

and in the extreme-relativistic limit

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{(Z\alpha)^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}{4E^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

[5]

[15]

# Question 3 (20 marks)

In the decay of a  $\pi^-$  at rest,  $\pi^- \to e^- + \bar{\nu_e}$ , show that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{v_e}{c}\right) = \frac{m_e^2}{m_\pi^2 + m_e^2} \,.$$

where  $v_e$  is the velocity of the electron.

[5]

To lowest order, the partial decays rate for pions are:

$$\frac{1}{\tau(\pi \to e\bar{\nu_e})} = \frac{\alpha_\pi^2}{4\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{v_e}{c} \right) p_e^2 E_e , \qquad \frac{1}{\tau(\pi \to \mu\bar{\nu_\mu})} = \frac{\alpha_\pi^2}{4\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{v_\mu}{c} \right) p_\mu^2 E_\mu .$$

where  $\alpha_{\pi}$  is an effective coupling constant and  $E_e$ ,  $E_{\mu}$  and  $p_e$ ,  $p_{\mu}$  are the charged lepton's energy and momentum. Hence show:

$$\frac{\tau(\pi \to \mu \bar{\nu_{\mu}})}{\tau(\pi \to e \bar{\nu_{e}})} = \frac{m_e^2 (m_{\pi}^2 - m_e^2)^2}{m_{\mu}^2 (m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\mu}^2)^2}.$$

[5]

Use the analogue of the above equation for the decay of the  $K^-$  to estimate the ratio

$$\frac{\tau(K \to \mu \bar{\nu_{\mu}})}{\tau(K \to e \bar{\nu_{e}})}$$

and compare with the observed value (2.4  $\pm$  0.1)  $\times 10^{-5}$ .

Given the lifetimes  $\tau(K \to \mu \bar{\nu_{\mu}}) = 1.948 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{s}$  and  $\tau(\pi \to \mu \bar{\nu_{\mu}}) = 2.603 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{s}$ , estimate  $\alpha_K/\alpha_\pi$ .

$$(m_K = 493.67 \,\text{MeV}, \, m_\pi = 139.57 \,\text{MeV}, \, m_\mu = 105.66 \,\text{MeV}, \, m_e = 0.511 \,\text{MeV}.)$$
 [5]

Draw quark model diagrams for the decays  $\pi^- \to \mu^- + \bar{\nu_\mu}$  and  $K^- \to \mu^- + \bar{\nu_\mu}$ , stating which element of the CKM matrix is involved in each.

Neglecting masses, the ratio of the CKM elements is equal to  $\alpha_K/\alpha_\pi$ . Hence estimate  $\sin \theta_{12}$ .

[5]

# Question 4 (20 marks)

The covariant derivative,

$$D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + ieA^{\mu},$$

in U(1) satisfies the commutation relation

$$[D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]\psi = ieF^{\mu\nu}\psi.$$

Hence determine  $F^{\mu\nu}$ . [5]

In SU(2), using the definition of the covariant derivative,

$$D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + ig\,\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{W}^{\mu}}{2},$$

show that

$$[D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]\psi = \frac{ig}{2} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot (\partial^{\mu} \mathbf{W}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu} - g \mathbf{W}^{\mu} \times \mathbf{W}^{\nu})\psi.$$

[7]

In SU(3), the covariant derivative,

$$D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + \frac{ig_s}{2} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\mu}$$

transforms as

$$D^{\prime\mu}\psi^{\prime} = \left(1 + \frac{ig_s}{2}\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}(x)\right)D^{\mu}\psi$$

where

$$\psi' = \left(1 + \frac{ig_s}{2} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}(x)\right) \psi.$$

Hence show that  $\mathbf{A}'^{\mu} = \mathbf{A}^{\mu} + \delta \mathbf{A}^{\mu}$  is given by

$$A_a^{\prime\mu} = A_a^{\mu} - \partial^{\mu}\eta_a(x) - g_s f_{abc}\eta_b(x) A_c^{\mu}.$$

[8]

## Question 5 (20 marks)

The dependency of the electric charge on an arbitrary scale,  $\mu$ , is (to one-loop order):

$$\mu \frac{de_{\mu}}{d\mu} = \frac{e_{\mu}^3}{12\pi^2} \,.$$

Given that at some scale,  $\mu=M,\,e_\mu=e_M,$  solve the above to show that

$$\alpha_{\mu} = \frac{\alpha_M}{1 - \frac{\alpha_M}{3\pi} \ln(\mu^2/M^2)}$$

where  $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$ . [8]

Sketch a plot of  $\alpha_s$  versus scale, Q, and describe the features and their physical implications. [4]

Give a brief description of a variable you could use to extract a value of  $\alpha_s$ . [2]

The DGLAP equations are:

$$\frac{dQ_i(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[ Q_i(y,Q^2) P_{qq} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) + G(y,Q^2) P_{qg} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{dG(x,Q^2)}{d\log Q^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[ Q_i(y,Q^2) P_{gq} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) + G(y,Q^2) P_{gg} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]$$

Explain the functions  $Q_i$  and G and the four  $P_{ij}$  functions. [6]

# Question 6 (20 marks)

Draw a Feynman diagram of a decay of a  $\tau$  lepton.

[2]

Explain why we can write the branching ratio as

$$\Gamma(\tau^- \to e^- \overline{\nu}_e + \nu_\tau) \sim \frac{1}{2 + N_c}$$

where  $N_c$  is the number of colours. Is this consistent with the experimental measure of 18%? [4]

The branching ratio,  $\Gamma(W^- \to e^- + \overline{\nu}_e)$ , can be written in a similar form; what is this? How does the result of this expression compare with the experimental value of 10.8%?

Draw quark diagrams for the following and explain the difference in the measured branching ratios:

$$\Gamma(D^+ \to K^+ + \pi^0) = 1.83 \times 10^{-4}$$
  
 $\Gamma(D^+ \to K^+ + K^0) = 2.83 \times 10^{-3}$ 

and using the CKM matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97383 & 0.2272 & 0.004 \\ 0.2271 & 0.97296 & 0.042 \\ 0.008 & 0.042 & 0.9991 \end{pmatrix},$$

predict the ratio of the two to within 20%.

[6]

Draw an example parton-level Feynman diagram of top production at the Tevatron. Why could  $t\bar{t}$  production not be observed at LEP or at HERA? Explain why the cross section for single-top production at LEP and HERA is small and draw a Feynman diagram for its production at both colliders.

## Question 7 (20 marks)

The unpolarised cross section,  $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$  is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{2s} \left[ A(1+\cos^2\theta) + B\cos\theta \right]$$

where  $\theta$  is the scattering angle in the centre-of-mass frame. Given the forward–backward asymmetry is defined as

$$A_{\rm FB} = \frac{N_{\rm F} - N_{\rm B}}{N_{\rm F} + N_{\rm B}}$$

where  $N_{\rm F}$  is the number scattered into the forward hemisphere,  $0 \le \cos \theta \le 1$ , and  $N_{\rm B}$  that into the backward hemisphere,  $-1 \le \cos \theta < 0$ , determine  $A_{\rm FB}$  in terms of A and B.

Given the relation of constants in electroweak theory,

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8M_W^2} \,,$$

predict the masses of the W and Z bosons.

$$(G_F = 1.16637 \times 10^{-5} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}, \, \alpha = 7.297 \times 10^{-3}, \, \sin^2 \theta_W \sim 0.23.)$$
 [4]

From the Lagrangian

$$\frac{1}{8} \left[ g_W^2 (v+h)^2 (W_\mu^1 - iW_\mu^2) (W_\mu^1 + iW_\mu^2) - (v+h)^2 (g'B_\mu - g_W W_\mu^3) (g'B^\mu - g_W W_3^\mu) \right]$$

derive the WWH and WWHH couplings and the ZZH and ZZHH couplings. (Simplify your answer to remove dependencies on both v and g'.)

The Higgs Boson was searched for in  $e^+e^-$  collisions at LEP. Draw the Feynman diagram of the process for Higgs production. Masses of up to about 114 GeV were ruled out; hence give the approximate maximum centre-of-mass energy of LEP. [3]

# Question 8 (20 marks)

Draw Feynman diagrams of the lowest-order (electroweak) process in electron-proton scattering. [3]

The matrix-element squared for lowest-order electromagnetic electron-proton scattering (under the assumption of the proton being a structureless, point-like Dirac particle) with given fourmomentum,  $e^-(p_i) p(P_i) \rightarrow e^-(p_f) p(P_f)$ , is

$$|T_{\rm fi}|^2 = \left(\frac{e^2}{q^2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{p_f + m}{2m} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{p_i + m}{2m} \gamma^{\nu}\right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{p_f + M}{2M} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{p_i + M}{2M} \gamma_{\nu}\right].$$

Evaluate the traces to show that

$$|T_{fi}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{2m^2M^2q^4} \left[ (p_f \cdot P_f) (p_i \cdot P_i) + (p_f \cdot P_i) (p_i \cdot P_f) - M^2 (p_f \cdot p_i) - m^2 (P_f \cdot P_i) + 2m^2M^2 \right]$$

where m is the mass of the electron, M is the mass of the proton and  $q = p_f - p_i$ . [8]

Assuming four-vectors,

$$p_i = (E, \mathbf{p}), \quad p_f = (E', \mathbf{p}'), \quad P_i = (M, \mathbf{0}), \quad P_f = (E_f, \mathbf{P_f}),$$

conserve energy and momentum to show that for  $m \ll E$ ,

$$\frac{E-E'}{M} = -\frac{q^2}{2M^2} \,.$$

[5]

[4]

Hence show that the cross section,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{E'/E}{1 + (2E/M)\sin^2\theta/2} |T_{\rm fi}|^2,$$

where

$$|T_{\rm fi}|^2 = \frac{16\pi^2 \alpha^2 E E'}{m^2 q^4} \left[ 1 + \frac{q^2}{4EE'} \left( 1 + \frac{E' - E}{M} \right) + \frac{m^2}{2EE'} \left( \frac{E' - E}{M} \right) \right]$$

can be simplified, in the limit  $E \gg m$  but  $E \ll M$ , to

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4E^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between the outgoing and incoming electron.

[Total Marks = 120]

END OF PAPER